

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/047,985	01/17/2002	Nicholas R. Bachur JR.	P-5478	3233
75	90 08/11/2004		EXAM	INER
David W. Highet			STOCK JR, GORDON J	
Becton Dickinson and Company Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mail Code 089 Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417-1880			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	A	A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/047,985	BACHUR, NICHOLAS R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gordon J Stock	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 M	av 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6,9-12 and 14-24 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) 1-6,9-12 and 14-24 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance and or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance and or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance and or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance and or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance and or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance and or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance and or are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ acceptance are subjected to by the Examine 10) □ acceptance are subjected to acceptance are subjected to acceptance are subjected to acceptance are subjected to acceptance are	vn from consideration.  r election requirement. r.	Fxaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second state of the s	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	a. □	(DTO 440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	•			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the lack of antecedent basis in claim 9: "polymer, glass bead, or crystalline bead."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9-12, 14-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oberhardt (6,251,615)—cited by applicant further in view of Johnson (6,765,656) and Ambrose et al. (6,309,886).

As to claims 1 and 11, Oberhardt discloses a flow cell through which a specimen containing particles to be analyzed is caused to flow; an illumination source for illuminating an image capturing zone; an imaging optic; image capturing means; image processing means; wherein images are captured of particles flowing and captured in the flow cell within a capture cartridge by image capturing means and are analyzed. And a method is disclosed comprising: introducing a specimen containing particles of interest into an inlet port of a flow cell; moving the specimen from the inlet port to an imaging chamber of the flow cell; interrogating at least one field of view; and creating response files (col. 3, lines 55-67; col. 4; lines 1-50; Fig. 12: cols. 25-26; Fig. 1: col. 12, lines 10-40; col. 13, lines 48-67; col. 14, lines 25-45; Fig. 9b: col. 20, lines 45-67). (The embodiment relied upon is Fig. 12 in view of Fig. 1 for the flow of cells through

Application/Control Number: 10/047,985

Art Unit: 2877

the capture cartridge and in view of Fig. 9b for the structure of the capture cartridge.) As for producing still images, Oberhardt suggests this by stating that the camera captures signals of the individual cells (col. 27; lines 15-20). In addition, it is well known in the art that cameras take images in frames per second; whereas, a frame is one still image. Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that still images are taken for a camera is used to capture the image.

In addition, Oberhardt discloses a blood sample is used of typically one microliter (col. 15, lines 10-12).

As for not having a sheath fluid, Oberhardt is silent. However, Johnson in an apparatus for imaging particles teaches using no sheath fluid (Fig. 2) in order to have illuminated volume not be required to have an intervening solvent, to be thin, nor does it need to be illuminated by a laser (col. 5, lines 35-45). And Ambrose in fluid analysis system teaches not having a sheath fluid to reduce sample adhesion to walls, sample degradation through interaction with walls, and reduce clogging events (col. 7, lines 1-10). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to have the system not use a sheath fluid in order to not have to use an intervening fluid solvent and to eliminate sample interactions with the walls such as adhesion, degradation through interaction, and clogging.

As for claims 12 and 16, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 11). In addition, Oberhardt discloses successive fields of view thereby at least one field of view is illuminated (col. 26, lines 49-60).

As for claims 2 and 17, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claims 1 and 16) and Oberhardt demonstrates a brightfield light source (Fig. 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,985

Art Unit: 2877

As for claims 3 and 18, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claims 1 and 11) and Oberhardt discloses a digital camera (col. 25, line 18).

As for claim 4, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 1) and Oberhardt discloses the transparency of the flow cell (Fig. 12).

As for claim 5, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 1) and Oberhardt discloses the flow cell comprising: an inlet port, an imaging chamber, an absorbent pad, a first channel connecting the inlet port to the imaging chamber and a second channel connecting the imaging chamber to the absorbent pad (Fig. 9b; col. 20, lines 45-67; col. 12, lines 10-45; col. 13, lines 48-67).

As for claim 6, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 1) Oberhardt discloses an incident light source (col. 25, lines 40-50).

As for claims 20 and 24, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 11) and Oberhardt discloses blood cells (col. 8, lines 54-65).

As for claim 9, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 1) and Oberhardt discloses polymer beads, latex beads (col. 15, lines 60-67).

As for claim 10, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 1) and Oberhardt discloses a microscope (Fig. 12, 70a).

As for claims 14-15, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 11) and Oberhardt discloses injecting the sample into the inlet port using a syringe and moving step is by syringe (col. 12, lines 15-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,985

Art Unit: 2877

As for claim 19, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 11) and Oberhardt discloses staining the particles prior to introduction (col. 26, lines 30-40).

As for claims 21-23, Oberhardt in view of Johnson and Ambrose disclose everything as above (see claim 20) and Oberhardt discloses counting the particles; determining the DNA content of the particles; classifying the particles (col. 4, lines 40-50).

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As for the applicant's arguments with the respect the objection to the specification with claim 9, Examiner does not find the argument persuasive, for applicant's disclosure on page 11 lines 1-3 solely mentions beads and not the particular material such as a polymer, glass, or crystalline material from which the beads are made.

### Fax/Telephone Numbers

If the applicant wishes to send a fax dealing with either a proposed amendment or a discussion with a phone interview, then the fax should:

- 1) Contain either a statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the fax cover sheet; and
  - 2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Papers related to the application may be submitted to Group 2800 by Fax transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO Fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 4. The

Art Unit: 2877

form of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Machine number is: (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon J. Stock whose telephone number is (571) 272-2431.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr., can be reached at 571-272-2800 ext 77.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gs

August 2, 2004

Zandra V. Smith Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877